



# 岐阜大学機関リポジトリ

## Gifu University Institutional Repository

Title	Note on Algebras of Bounded Representation Type II
Author(s)	YOSHII, Tensho
Citation	[岐阜大学教養部研究報告] vol.[5] p.[59]-[63]
Issue Date	1969
Rights	
Version	岐阜大学教養部 (Dep. of Math., Faculty of General Education, Gifu Univ.)
URL	<a href="http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12099/47413">http://hdl.handle.net/20.500.12099/47413</a>

この資料の著作権は、各資料の著者・学協会・出版社等に帰属します。

## Note on Algebras of Bounded Representation Type II

Dedicated to Prof. K. Asano on his sixtieth birthday.

By Tensho YOSHII

*Dep. of Math., Faculty of General Education, Gifu Univ.*

Let  $A$  be an associative algebra with a unit element over a field  $K$  and let  $A = \sum_{\kappa} \sum_i A e_{\kappa i}$  be a direct decomposition of  $A$  into directly indecomposable left ideals where  $A e_{\kappa i} \cong A e_{\kappa_1} = A e_{\kappa}$ . Especially in this paper we suppose that the square of the radical of  $A$  is 0. In my paper [1] we studied necessary and sufficient conditions for an algebra to be of Bounded Representation Type when the square of the radical is 0 and  $K$  is algebraically closed<sup>1)</sup>. In this paper these conditions are called  $B$ -conditions. In the same paper [1] it was shown that if  $K$  is not algebraically closed then  $B$ -conditions can not always be the necessary conditions. But in this paper we shall show that  $B$ -conditions are sufficient conditions for  $A$  to be of Bounded Representation Type even if  $K$  is not algebraically closed. Namely we shall prove the following theorem.

**Theorem** An algebra  $A$  is of Bounded Representation Type if it satisfies the following conditions.

Suppose that  $\{Ne_1, Ne_2, \dots, Ne_r\}$  ( $\{e_1N, e_2N, \dots, e_rN\}$ ) is a chain<sup>2)</sup> such that at most one of  $Ne_i$  is the direct sum of three simple components not isomorphic to each other and other  $Ne_j$  are the direct sum of at most two simple components not isomorphic to each other.

(1) If  $Ne_i$  ( $e_iN$ ) ( $i=1, \dots, r$ ) are the direct sum of at most two simple components then they are the direct sum of two simple components except  $Ne_i$  ( $e_iN$ ) ( $i=1, r$ ).

(2) If  $Ne_1$  ( $e_1N$ ) is the direct sum of three simple components then  $Ne_i$  ( $e_iN$ ) ( $i=1, r$ ) are the direct sum of two simple components and  $Ne_r$  ( $e_rN$ ) is simple.

(3) If  $Ne_\lambda$  ( $e_\lambda N$ ) ( $\lambda=1, r$ ) is the direct sum of three simple components then  $r=3$  and  $Ne_i$  ( $e_iN$ ) ( $i=1, 3$ ) are simple.

**Proof** We may prove this theorem in the following cases.

[**The case 1**]. Suppose that  $Ne_1 = Au_{11} \oplus Au_{12} \oplus Au_{13}$  and  $Ne_i = Au_{i1} \oplus Au_{i2}$  ( $i=2, \dots, r$ ) where each  $Au_{ij}$  is not isomorphic to each other except  $Au_{13} \cong Au_{21}$  and  $Au_{i2} \cong Au_{(i+1)1}$ .

[**The case 2**].  $Ne_1 = Au_{11}$ ,  $Ne_2 = Au_{21} \oplus Au_{22} \oplus Au_{23}$  and  $Ne_3 = Au_{31}$  where each

$Au_{ij}$  is not isomorphic to each other except  $Au_{11} \cong Au_{21}$  and  $Au_{23} \cong Au_{31}$ .

[The case 3].  $Ne_1 = Au_{11}$ ,  $Ne_2 = Au_{21}$  and  $Ne_i = Au_{i1} \oplus Au_{i2}$  ( $i=3, \dots, r$ ) where each  $Au_i$  is not isomorphic to each other except  $Au_{11} \cong Au_{21} \cong Au_{31}$  and  $Au_{i2} \cong Au_{(i+1)2}$ .

[The case 4].  $Ne_1 = Au_{11} \oplus Au_{12}$ ,  $Ne_2 = Au_{21}$  and  $Ne_3 = Au_{31} \oplus Au_{32}$  where each  $Au_{ij}$  is not isomorphic to each other except  $Au_{12} \cong Au_{21} \cong Au_{31}$ .

[The case 1] From the results of my paper [I] an arbitrary A-left module  $\mathfrak{M}$  is assumed to be

$$\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\oplus} Ae_1 m_{1ia} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{\oplus} Ae_1 m_{1ic} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{\oplus} Ae_1 m_{1ia} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{\oplus} Ae_1 m_{1if} \oplus \sum_{i=1}^{\oplus} (Ae_1 m_{1ig} + Ae_1 m_{1ih}) \right\} \\ + \sum_{\lambda} \left\{ \sum_{\lambda} Ae_{\lambda} m_{\lambda ia} \oplus \sum_{\lambda} Ae_{\lambda} m_{\lambda ib} \right\}$$

where  $Ae_1 m_{1ia} \cong Ae_1$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1ic} \cong Ae_1/Au_{11}$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1ia} \cong Ae_1/Au_{12}$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1if} \cong Ae_1/Au_{11} + Au_{12}$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1ig} = Ae_1/Au_{13}$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1ih} \cong Ae_1 m_{1ic}$ ,  $Au_{12} m_{1ig} = Au_{12} m_{1ih}$ ,  $Ae_{\lambda} m_{\lambda ia} \cong Ae_{\lambda}$  and  $Ae_{\lambda} m_{\lambda ib} \cong Ae_{\lambda}/Au_{\lambda 2}$ . In this case we can omit  $Ae_1 m_{1ib}$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1ie}$  and  $Ae_1 m_{1ik}$  such that  $Ae_1 m_{1ib} \cong Ae_1/Au_{13}$ ,  $Ae_1 m_{1ie} = Ae_1/Au_{12} + Au_{13}$  and  $Ae_1 m_{1ik} \cong Ae_1/Au_{11} + Au_{13}$  because they are direct components of  $\mathfrak{M}$ .

First of all we denote  $m_{2i\xi}$  such that  $u_{13} m_{1j\eta} = \alpha(1j\eta, 2i\xi) u_{21} m_{2i\xi}$  ( $\eta = a, c, d, f, h; \xi = a, b$ ) by  $m_{2i\xi\eta}$  where if  $Au_{21} \cong \bar{A}\bar{e}_p$  then  $\alpha(1jh, 2i\xi) \in \bar{e}_p A \bar{e}_p^3$ . Generally we denote  $m_{\lambda i\xi}$  such that  $u_{13} m_{1j\eta} = u_{21} m_{2ja\eta}$ ,  $u_{22} m_{2'a\eta} = u_{31} m_{3'a\eta}, \dots, u_{(\lambda-1)2} m_{(\lambda-1)j'a\eta} = u_{\lambda 1} m_{\lambda j\xi}$  by  $m_{\lambda j\xi\eta}$  and such  $m_{1j\eta}$  is denoted by  $m_{1j\eta}'$ .

Now suppose that  $u_{21} m_{2ia} = \sum_{j,\eta} \alpha(2ia, 1j\eta) u_{13} m_{1j\eta} + \sum_{j,\eta} \alpha(2ia, 2j\eta) u_{21} m_{2j\eta}$ .

If  $\alpha(2ia, 1ja) \neq 0$  then  $u_{21} m_{2iaa} = u_{13} m_{1ia}'$  by putting  $m_{1ia}' = \sum_{j,\eta} \beta(2ia, 1j\eta) m_{1j\eta}$  and  $m_{2iaa} = m_{2ia} - \sum_{j,\eta} \beta(2ia, 2j\eta) m_{2j\eta}$  where  $\alpha(2ia, 1j\eta) u_{13} = u_{13} \beta(2ia, 1j\eta)$  and from now on the same notation is used.

If  $\alpha(2ia, 1ja) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(2ia, 1ja') = 0$ ,  $\alpha(2ia, 1jd) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha(2ia, 1jc) \neq 0$  then by the same way as above we can put  $u_{21} m_{2iacd} = u_{13} m_{1ic}'' + u_{13} m_{1id}''$  where  $m_{2iacd} = m_{2ia} - \sum_{j,\eta} \beta(2ia, 2j\eta) m_{2j\eta}$ ,  $m_{1ic}'' = \sum_{\eta \neq d} \beta(2ia, 1i\eta) m_{1i\eta}$  and  $m_{1id}'' = \sum_{\eta=d} \beta(2ia, 1i\eta) m_{1i\eta}$ .

If  $\alpha(2ia, 1ja) = 0$  and  $\alpha(2ia, 1ja') \neq 0$  then we can reduce this case to the above case because  $u_{13} m_{1ja}' = u_{21} m_{2j\xi} (\xi = a, b)$ .

Next suppose that  $u_{21} m_{2ib} = \sum_{i,\eta} \alpha(2ib, 1i\eta) u_{13} m_{1i\eta} + \sum_{i,\xi} \alpha(2ib, 2i\xi) u_{21} m_{2i\xi} + \sum_j \alpha(2ib, 2i\xi\eta) u_{21} m_{2i\xi\eta}$ .

If  $\alpha(2ib, 2ia) \neq 0$  then we can replace  $m_{2ib}$  by  $m_{2ia}$  and this case is reduced to the above case.

If  $\alpha(2ib, 2ia) = 0$  and  $\alpha(2ib, 2iaa) \neq 0$  then  $u_{21} m_{2iaa} = u_{13} m_{1ia}'$  by putting  $m_{1ia}' = \sum_{i,\eta} \beta(2ib, 2i\eta a) m_{1ia}' + \sum_{i,\xi,\eta} \beta(2ib, 2i\eta\xi) m_{1i\xi}' + \sum_{i,\xi} \beta(2ib, 1i\xi) m_{1i\xi}$  and  $m_{2iaa} = \sum_j \beta(2ib, 2jb) m_{2jb} + \sum \beta(2ib, 2i\eta a) m_{2i\eta a} + \sum \beta(2ib, 2i\eta\xi) m_{2i\eta\xi}$ .

If  $\alpha(2ib, 2ia) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(2ib, 2iaa) = 0$  and  $\alpha(2ib, 2iad) \neq 0$  or  $\alpha(2ib, 2iac) \neq 0$  then by the same way as above we have  $u_{21} m_{2iad} = u_{13} m_{1id}'$  and  $u_{21} m_{2iac} = u_{13} m_{1ic}'$  where  $u_{22} m_{2iac} = u_{22} m_{2iac}$ .

Thus continuing this operations  $\mathfrak{M}$  is the direct sum of directly indecomposable A-left modules of following types:

- (1)  $Ae_1m_{1i\xi}$  ( $\xi = a, b, c, d, e, f, k$ ).
- (2)  $Ae_1m_{1io} + Ae_1m_{1ih}$ .
- (3)  $Ae_1m_{1i\xi} + Ae_2m_{2ia} + \dots + Ae_\mu m_{\mu ia}$   
 where  $u_{13}m_{1i\xi} = u_{21}m_{2ia}$ ,  $u_{j2}m_{jia} = u_{(j+1)1}m_{(j+1)ia}$  ( $j = 2, \dots, \mu - 1$ ;  $\mu = 2, \dots, r$ ;  $\xi = a, d, c, f, h$ .)

This module is denoted by  $M_{\mu\xi}$ .

- (4)  $M_{\mu a} + M_{\mu c} + Ae_{\mu+1}m_{(\mu+1)ia} + \dots + Ae_\nu m_{\nu ia}$   
 where  $u_{(\mu+1)1}m_{(\mu+1)ia} = u_{\mu 2}m_{\mu id} + u_{\mu 2}m_{\mu ic}$  and  $u_{\eta 2}m_{\eta ia} = u_{(\eta+1)1}m_{(\eta+1)ia}$  ( $\eta = \mu + 1, \dots, \nu - 1$ ).
- (5)  $M_{\mu a} + M_{\mu c} + Ae_{\mu+1}m_{(\mu+1)ia} + Ae_{\mu+1}m_{(\mu+1)ia} + Ae_{\mu+2}m_{(\mu+2)ia} + Ae_{\mu+3}m_{(\mu+3)ia} + \dots + Ae_\nu m_{\nu ia}$   
 where  $u_{(\mu+1)1}m_{(\mu+1)ia} = u_{\mu 2}m_{\mu id}$ ,  $u_{(\mu+1)1}m_{(\mu+1)(i+1)a} = u_{\mu 2}m_{\mu ic}$ ,  $u_{(\mu+1)2}m_{(\mu+1)ia} = u_{(\mu+1)2}m_{(\mu+1)(i+1)a} = u_{(\mu+2)1}m_{(\mu+2)ia}$  and  $u_{\eta 2}m_{\eta ia} = u_{(\eta+1)1}m_{(\eta+1)ia}$  ( $\eta = \mu + 2, \dots, \nu - 1$ ).

[The case 2] In this case by the same way as the case 1 we may assume that an arbitrary A-left module  $\mathfrak{M}$  is

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigoplus Ae_1m_{1i} + \left\{ \bigoplus Ae_2m_{2ia} \oplus \bigoplus Ae_2m_{2ib} \oplus \bigoplus Ae_2m_{2ie} \oplus \bigoplus Ae_2m_{2id} \oplus \bigoplus Ae_2m_{2ie} \right. \\ & \left. \oplus \bigoplus Ae_2m_{2if} \oplus \bigoplus (Ae_2m_{2jo} + Ae_2m_{2jh}) \right\} + \bigoplus Ae_3m_{3i}. \end{aligned}$$

First of all we denote  $m_{1i}$  such that  $Au_{11}m_{1i} = Au_{21}m_{2i\xi}$  ( $\xi = a, b, d, e, g$ ) by  $m_{1i\xi'}$  and  $m_{2i\xi}$  by  $m_{2i\xi'}$ .

Now suppose that

$$u_{11}m_{1\lambda} = \sum \alpha(\lambda, 2i\xi)u_{21}m_{2i\xi} + \sum \alpha(\lambda, 2i\xi')u_{21}m_{2i\xi'}.$$

Then we may assume that  $u_{11}m_{1\lambda} = \sum \alpha(\lambda, 2i\xi)u_{21}m_{2i\xi}$  by replacing  $m_{1\lambda}$  by  $m_{1\lambda} - \sum \beta(\lambda, 2i\xi')m_{2i\xi'}$  because  $u_{21}m_{2i\xi'} = u_{11}m_{1i\xi'}$ .

If  $\alpha(\lambda, 2ia) \neq 0$  then we have  $u_{11}m_{1\lambda} = u_{21}m_{2ia'}$  by putting  $m_{2ia'} = \sum_{i,\xi} \beta(\lambda, 2i\xi)m_{2i\xi}$ .

If  $\alpha(\lambda, 2ia) = 0$ ,  $\alpha(\lambda, 2ib) \neq 0$  and  $\alpha(\lambda, 2id) \neq 0$  then we have  $u_{11}m_{1\lambda} = u_{21}m_{2ib}'' + u_{21}m_{2id}''$  by putting  $m_{2ib}'' = \sum_{\xi \neq a, d} \beta(\lambda, 2i\xi)m_{2i\xi}$  and  $m_{2id}'' = \sum_{\xi \neq a, d} \beta(\lambda, 2i\xi)m_{2i\xi}$ . In this way  $\mathfrak{M} = \sum Ae_1m_{1i} + \sum Ae_2m_{2i}$  is the direct sum of directly indecomposable A-left modules of following types:

- (1)  $M_1 = Ae_1m_{1ia'} + Ae_2m_{2ia'}$ .
- (2)  $M_2 = Ae_2m_{2ia}$ .
- (3)  $M_3 = Ae_1m_{1ia'} + Ae_2m_{2ia'}$ .
- (4)  $M_4 = Ae_2m_{2ia}$ .
- (5)  $M_5 = Ae_2m_{2ic}$ .
- (6)  $M_6 = Ae_1m_{1i} + (Ae_2m_{2ib}'' \oplus Ae_2m_{2id}'')$  where  $u_{11}m_{1i} = u_{21}m_{2ib}'' + u_{21}m_{2id}''$ .
- (7)  $M_7 = Ae_1m_{1i} + (Ae_2m_{2io'} + Ae_2m_{2ih'})$  where  $u_{11}m_{1i} = u_{21}m_{2io'}$  and  $u_{22}m_{2io'} = u_{22}m_{2ih'}$ .
- (8)  $M_8 = Ae_2m_{2io} + Ae_2m_{2ih}$ .
- (9)  $M_9 = Ae_2m_{2i\xi}$  ( $\xi = f, e, k, b$ ).

Moreover continuing the same operation an arbitrary A-left module is the direct sum of directly indecomposable A-left modules of following types:

- (1)  $M_i$  ( $i = 1, \dots, 9$ ).

- (2)  $M_i + Ae_3m_{3i}$  ( $i=1, \dots, 9$ ). where  $u_{23}m_{2i\xi} = u_{31}m_{3i}$  ( $\xi = a, d, c, f, h$ ).
- (3)  $M_1 + M_4 + Ae_3m_{3i}$  where  $u_{31}m_{3i} = \alpha(3i, 2ia')u_{23}m_{2ia'} + \alpha(3i, 2id)u_{23}m_{2id}$ .
- (4)  $M_3 + M_5 + Ae_3m_{3i}$  where  $u_{31}m_{3i} = \alpha(3i, 2id')u_{23}m_{2id'} + \alpha(3i, 2ic)u_{23}m_{2ic}$ .
- (5)  $M_3 + M_8 + Ae_3m_{3i}$  where  $u_{31}m_{3i} = \alpha(3i, 2id')u_{23}m_{2id'} + \alpha(3i, 2ih)u_{23}m_{2ih}$ .
- (6)  $M_4 + M_5 + Ae_3m_{3i}$  where  $u_{31}m_{3i} = \alpha(3i, 2id)u_{23}m_{2id} + \alpha(3i, 2ic)u_{23}m_{2ic}$ .
- (7)  $M_5 + M_6 + Ae_3m_{3i}$  where  $u_{31}m_{3i} = \alpha(3i, 2ic)u_{23}m_{2ic} + \alpha(3i, 2id'')u_{23}m_{2id''}$ .
- (8)  $M_6 + M_8 + Ae_3m_{3i}$  where  $u_{31}m_{3i} = \alpha(3i, 2id'')u_{23}m_{2id''} + \alpha(3i, 2ih)u_{23}m_{2ih}$ .

[The case 3] In this case an arbitrary A-left module is the direct sum of directly indecomposable A-left modules of following types:<sup>4)</sup>

- (1)  $Ae_3m_{3i} + \dots + Ae_\mu m_{\mu i}$  ( $\mu = 3, \dots, r$ ) where  $u_{\lambda 2}m_{\lambda i} = u_{(\lambda+1)1}m_{(\lambda+1)i}$ .
- (2)  $Ae_1m'_{1i} + Ae_3m'_{3i} + \dots + Ae_\mu m'_{\mu i}$  ( $\mu = 1, 3, \dots, r$ ) where  $u_{11}m'_{1i} = u_{31}m'_{3i}$  and  $u_{\lambda 2}m'_{\lambda i} = u_{(\lambda+1)1}m'_{(\lambda+1)i}$ . This module is denoted by  $M_{1\mu}$ .
- (3)  $Ae_2m''_{2i} + Ae_3m''_{3i} + \dots + Ae_\mu m''_{\mu i}$  ( $\mu = 2, 3, \dots, r$ ) where  $u_{21}m''_{1i} = u_{31}m''_{3i}$  and  $u_{\lambda 2}m''_{\lambda i} = u_{(\lambda+1)1}m''_{(\lambda+1)i}$ . This module is denoted by  $M_{2\mu}$ .
- (4)  $M_{1\mu} + M_{2\mu} + Ae_{\mu+1}m_{(\mu+1)i} + \dots + Ae_\nu m_{\nu i}$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, r-2; \nu = \mu+1, \dots, r$ ) where  $u_{(\mu+1)1}m_{(\mu+1)i} = \alpha((\mu+1)i; \mu'i)u_{\mu 2}m'_{\mu i} + \alpha((\mu+1)i; \mu''i)u_{\mu 2}m''_{\mu i}$ .
- (5)  $M_{1\mu} + M_{2\mu} + Ae_{\mu+1}m_{(\mu+1)i} + Ae_{\mu+1}m_{(\mu+1)(i+1)} + Ae_{\mu+2}m_{(\mu+2)i} + \dots + Ae_\nu m_{\nu i}$  ( $\mu = 1, 2, \dots, r-2; \nu = \mu+2, \dots, r$ ) where  $u_{\mu 2}m'_{\mu i} = u_{(\mu+1)1}m_{(\mu+1)i}; u_{\mu 2}m''_{\mu i} = u_{(\mu+1)1}m_{(\mu+1)(i+1)}$  and  $u_{(\mu+1)2}m_{(\mu+1)i} = u_{(\mu+1)2}m_{(\mu+1)(i+1)}$ .

[The case 4] In this case an arbitrary A-left module is the direct sum of directly indecomposable A-left modules of following types:

- (1)  $M_1 = Ae_1m_{1ia} \cong Ae_1$ .
- (2)  $M_2 = Ae_1m_{1ib} \cong Ae_1/Au_{11}$ .
- (3)  $M_3 = Ae_2m_{2ia} \cong Ae_2$ .
- (4)  $M_4 = Ae_3m_{3ib} \cong Ae_3/Au_{32}$ .
- (5)  $M_5 = Ae_1m_{1ia} + Ae_3m_{3ia}$  where  $u_{12}m_{1ia} = u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (6)  $M_6 = Ae_1m_{1ia} + Ae_3m_{3ib}$  where  $u_{12}m_{1ia} = u_{31}m_{3ib}$ .
- (7)  $M_7 = Ae_1m_{1ib} + Ae_3m_{3ia}$  where  $u_{12}m_{1ib} = u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (8)  $M_8 = Ae_1m_{1ib} + Ae_3m_{3ib}$  where  $u_{12}m_{1ib} = u_{31}m_{3ib}$ .
- (9)  $M_9 = Ae_1m_{1ic} \cong Ae_1/Au_{12}$ .
- (10)  $M_{10} = Ae_3m_{3ia} \cong Ae_3/Au_{31}$ .
- (11)  $M_1 + M_3 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lia)u_{12}m_{1ia} + \alpha(2i, 3ia)u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (12)  $M_1 + M_4 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lia)u_{12}m_{1ia} + \alpha(2i, 3ib)u_{31}m_{3ib}$ .
- (13)  $M_2 + M_3 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lib)u_{12}m_{1ib} + \alpha(2i, 3ia)u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (14)  $M_2 + M_4 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lib)u_{12}m_{1ib} + \alpha(2i, 3ib)u_{31}m_{3ib}$ .
- (15)  $M_2 + M_5 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lib)u_{12}m_{1ib} + \alpha(2i, 3ia)u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (16)  $M_2 + M_6 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lib)u_{12}m_{1ib} + \alpha(2i, lia)u_{12}m_{1ia}$ .
- (17)  $M_4 + M_5 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, 3ib)u_{31}m_{3ib} + \alpha(2i, 3ia)u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (18)  $M_4 + M_7 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, 3ib)u_{31}m_{3ib} + \alpha(2i, 3ia)u_{31}m_{3ia}$ .
- (19)  $M_6 + M_7 + Ae_2m_{2i}$  where  $u_{21}m_{2i} = \alpha(2i, lia)u_{12}m_{1ia} + \alpha(2i, lib)u_{12}m_{1ib}$ .

Thus A is of bounded Representation Type.

## Foot Note

- (1) The latter half of the sixth condition of the theorem 2 in my paper [I] is corrected in the following way.

....., if  $Ne_j(e_jN)$  ( $j=1,2,3$ ) is the direct sum of three simple components then  $\{Ne_1, Ne_2, Ne_3\}$  ( $\{e_1N, e_2N, e_3N\}$ ) is a chain where  $Ne_i$  ( $e_iN$ ) ( $i=1,2,3$ ) are simple and  $Ne_2$  ( $e_2N$ ) is the direct sum of three simple components.

The necessity of this condition can be proved by the same way as Lemma 6 if it is modified a little. Accordingly Lemma 7 is unnecessary.

- (2) See my paper [I].  
 (3)  $\alpha(1j\eta, 2i\xi)$  shows that it depends upon  $m_{1j\eta}$  and  $m_{2i\xi}$ .  
 (4) This is proved similarly as the case 1 or 2.

## References.

- I T. Yoshii: On Algebras of Bounded Representation Type, Osaka Math. Jour. 8 (1956). 51-105.  
 II T. Yoshii: Note on Algebras of Bounded Representation Type I, Bull. of The Faculty of General Education, Gifu Univ., No. 4, 1968, 141-143.